

Electricity Safety Bill – consultation draft submission

Submission instructions

Thank you for taking the time to review the Electricity Safety Bill and provide feedback.

To help with coordinating the review and tracking of responses, please following these instructions.

- 1 Please use the Submission Response Details sheet below to provide a response, including any suggested improvements.
- 2 Only document a response to a clause where you have a concern or issue, or a suggested enhancement.
- 3 Document the clause number (e.g 22), subclause (e.g. (2)) and paragraph e.g. (a) (as appropriate, e.g. for 22(2)(a)) in the column provided for each issue/suggestion you have.
- 4 If you are happy with a clause, there is no need to document anything.
- 5 Where no response is documented for a clause, it will be considered as an indication that you are happy with the clause as is.
- 6 Where you have an electricity safety issue or concern that is not covered in the Bill, please document it in the columns provided, without a clause, subclause and paragraph specification.
- 7 If you have no issues, concerns or suggested enhancements, please email John Britten (john.britten@justice.tas.gov.au) and acknowledge that is the case by 5 pm 28 February 2020.

Electricity Safety Bill – consultation draft submission

Clause	Subclause	Para	Issue/Concern	Suggestion/Wording	Action (DoJ Use)
Part 1	s.3		Definition of Operator appears inconsistent with the provisions of a PCBU	Redraft for clarity	
Part 1	s.3		Serious Electrical Incident (also at s.20) Threshold of seriousness should not be treated or considered only if causes temporary or permanent disability. All medical advice says that any form of electric shock requires urgent medical attention.	All electric shocks should be considered serious as all can have serious health consequences and all should require medical attention	
4			There doesn't seem to be consideration of construction type activities - either new or upgrades/refurbishment	Make consideration of that sub industry	
9	(e)		Doesn't expressly require the Director to consult with representatives of employers or employees	Require Director to consult with relevant employee and employer organisations	
12			Doesn't expressly require the Director to consult with representatives of employers or employees	Require Director to consult with relevant employee and employer organisations, and require committee constitution to be tri-partite	
14	2 (b)		Is inconsistent sub clause 1 of the section	Should not incur a fee if it is requirement to comply with the determination by law	
15			Appears inconsistent with the provisions of a PCBU	Redraft for clarity	
22			Any audit arranged should be independent	Include provisions for audits to be independent and on a cost recovery basis	
22			Should include a mechanism for the PCBU, or employer to pay for audit	Redraft to include cost recovery	

