

**NATIONAL COMMITTEE FOR
UNIFORM SUCCESSION LAWS**

Intestacy

Report to the
Standing Committee of Attorneys General

March 2007

Intestacy

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	MEMBERS OF THE NATIONAL COMMITTEE	ix
1.	INTRODUCTION	1
	BACKGROUND	2
	Testate and intestate succession.....	2
	When intestacy occurs.....	2
	Incidence of intestacy	5
	Characteristics of intestates and their estates	6
	Transmission of property by other means.....	7
	AIM OF INTESTACY RULES	8
	Carrying out the presumed intentions of the intestate	8
	Simplicity, clarity and certainty	10
	Meeting the needs of family members	11
	Provision for deserving family members	12
	Interaction with family provision regimes	13
	THIS REFERENCE.....	14
	Work of the National Committee for Uniform Succession	
	Laws.....	14
	Issues Paper 26	15
	Submissions and consultations.....	15
	Research Report 13.....	16
	OUTLINE OF THIS REPORT	16
	Spouses and partners.....	16
	The parent-child relationship.....	17
	Distribution to next of kin.....	17
	Bona vacantia	18
	General aspects of distribution	18
	Accounting for benefits received.....	18
	Indigenous people.....	19
	Miscellaneous provisions	19
2.	SPOUSE OR PARTNER – PRELIMINARY ISSUES	21
	INTRODUCTION	22
	WHO IS A SPOUSE OR PARTNER?	22
	Spouses	22
	Domestic partnerships	23
	SPOUSES TO BE TREATED AS SEPARATE PERSONS	27
	National Committee’s conclusion.....	28
3.	SPOUSE OR PARTNER – GENERAL DISTRIBUTION	29
	SURVIVING SPOUSE BUT NO SURVIVING ISSUE	30
	Law reform developments.....	31
	Arguments for and against.....	32
	Submissions.....	34

	National Committee's conclusion	34
	SURVIVING SPOUSE AND SURVIVING ISSUE	35
	Problems with the current position	35
	Possible approaches.....	39
	Recognition of dependency	48
	National Committee's conclusion.....	50
4.	SPOUSE OR PARTNER – SPECIAL PROVISIONS	53
	PERSONAL EFFECTS OF THE INTESTATE	54
	Definition of effects	55
	Law reform developments.....	59
	Submissions and consultations.....	60
	National Committee's conclusion.....	61
	THE STATUTORY LEGACY	63
	Justification of the statutory legacy	63
	The amount of the statutory legacy.....	64
	National Committee's conclusion.....	70
	STATUTORY LEGACY AND CONFLICT OF LAWS	71
	National Committee's conclusion.....	73
	APPORTIONING THE RESIDUE BETWEEN SURVIVING	
	PARTNER AND ISSUE	73
	Law reform developments.....	74
	Submissions and consultations.....	75
	National Committee's conclusion.....	75
5.	SPOUSE OR PARTNER – ELECTION TO OBTAIN ANY	
	PROPERTY	77
	THE RIGHT TO THE SHARED HOME.....	78
	Current provisions.....	78
	General arguments	78
	Alternative approaches	80
	A NEW APPROACH.....	82
	THE SPOUSE OR PARTNER'S ENTITLEMENT	86
	Election	86
	Revocation	94
	Valuing the intestate's interest in the relevant property	94
	Satisfying the value of the interest.....	99
	Restrictions on the right to acquire property	102
	National Committee's conclusion.....	104
	POWER OF THE PERSONAL REPRESENTATIVE TO	
	DISPOSE OF PROPERTY	104
	The current law	104
	National Committee's conclusion.....	105
	Where the spouse is a trustee	107

6.	MULTIPLE PARTNERS	109
	INTESTATE LEAVES A SPOUSE AND A DE FACTO PARTNER.....	110
	Distribution in Queensland.....	110
	Distribution in other Australian jurisdictions	111
	INTESTATE LEAVES MORE THAN ONE PARTNER.....	113
	BIGAMOUS UNIONS.....	114
	PERSONAL EFFECTS.....	115
	SUBMISSIONS AND CONSULTATIONS.....	116
	NATIONAL COMMITTEE'S CONCLUSION	116
	Where there are also surviving issue.....	117
7.	THE PARENT – CHILD RELATIONSHIP	119
	ESTABLISHING PARENTAGE.....	120
	Presumptions of parentage.....	120
	National Committee's conclusion.....	123
	CHILDREN NOT YET BORN (EN VENTRE SA MERE)	123
	Rights of children not yet born	123
	Presumptions of parentage.....	125
	Delayed conception and suspended gestation	126
	STEP-CHILDREN.....	129
	Arguments for and against.....	130
	Law Reform developments	132
	Submissions and consultations.....	133
	National Committee's conclusion.....	133
	STEP-PARENT ADOPTIONS.....	133
	Law reform developments.....	134
	Arguments for and against.....	135
	National Committee's conclusion.....	136
8.	NEXT OF KIN – PRELIMINARY ISSUES	139
	PER STIRPES OR PER CAPITA DISTRIBUTION?	140
	Current Australian provisions.....	140
	Law reform developments.....	141
	Arguments for and against.....	143
	Submissions and consultations.....	146
	National Committee's conclusion.....	147
	PERSONS ENTITLED IN MORE THAN ONE CAPACITY	148
	Law reform developments.....	149
	Submissions.....	149
	RELATIONSHIPS BETWEEN SIBLINGS.....	151
	Law reform developments.....	152
	Submissions and consultations.....	153
	The National Committee's view	153

9.	NEXT OF KIN – GENERAL ORDER OF DISTRIBUTION	156
	ISSUE	157
	PARENTS	157
	BROTHERS AND SISTERS	158
	DESCENDANTS OF BROTHERS AND SISTERS	159
	National Committee’s conclusion	161
	MATERNAL AND PATERNAL BRANCHES OF THE FAMILY	162
	National Committee’s conclusion	164
	GRANDPARENTS	165
	AUNTS AND UNCLES	166
	SETTING A LIMIT	166
	Should there be a limit?	168
	What should the limit be?	170
	The National Committee’s conclusion	173
10.	BONA VACANTIA	175
	WHERE SHOULD IT GO?	177
	Distribution to charities	177
	Submissions and consultations	179
	National Committee’s conclusion	179
	HOW SHOULD IT BE DISTRIBUTED?	180
	DISCRETIONARY DISTRIBUTION	180
	Circumstances in which distribution may be made	182
	Criteria for assessment of claims	185
	Corporate claimants	186
	National Committee’s conclusion	186
11.	SURVIVORSHIP	189
	APPLICATION OF SURVIVORSHIP CLAUSES TO INTESTACY ..	190
	Law reform developments	190
	Arguments for and against	191
	LENGTH OF TIME	192
	PREVENTING BONA VACANTIA	194
	APPLICATION TO CHILDREN EN VENTRE SA MERE	194
	SUBMISSIONS AND CONSULTATIONS	195
	NATIONAL COMMITTEE’S CONCLUSION	195
12.	VESTING OF ENTITLEMENTS	197
	VESTING OF MINORS’ SHARES	198
	Contingent vesting	198
	Absolute vesting	200
	Law reform developments	201
	Arguments for and against	202
	Submissions and consultations	203
	National Committee’s conclusion	204

Trusts for minors' shares	204
INHERITANCE BY REPRESENTATION AND THE "PREDECEASE" REQUIREMENT	205
Disclaimed interests.....	205
Forfeiture.....	207
National Committee's conclusion.....	209
13. ACCOUNTING FOR BENEFITS RECEIVED.....	211
BENEFITS RECEIVED BEFORE DEATH.....	212
Origin of the rules.....	212
Current provisions.....	213
Arguments for and against.....	214
Law reform developments.....	217
Submissions and consultations.....	218
National Committee's conclusion.....	219
TESTAMENTARY BENEFITS	219
Law reform developments.....	221
Arguments for and against.....	222
Submissions and consultations.....	223
National Committee's conclusion.....	224
SUPERANNUATION ASSETS	225
14. INDIGENOUS PEOPLE.....	227
INDIGENOUS KINSHIP.....	228
INCIDENCE OF INTESTACY AMONG INDIGENOUS PEOPLE ...	229
CURRENT PROVISIONS	230
Recognition of customary marriage	230
Additional/separate distribution regimes	233
POSSIBLE APPROACHES	240
Make no special provision.....	240
Leave regulation to each jurisdiction.....	241
Make special provision for identifying Indigenous kinship structures	242
NATIONAL COMMITTEE'S CONCLUSION	244
15. MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS	247
LEGISLATIVE DEFINITION OF "INTESTATE"	248
BENEFICIALLY INTERESTED PERSONAL REPRESENTATIVE ..	249
REFERENCES TO STATUTES OF DISTRIBUTION, HEIRS AND NEXT OF KIN.....	250
National Committee's conclusion.....	253
ABOLITION OF COURTESY AND RIGHT OF DOWER	253
National Committee's conclusion.....	254

APPENDICES	255
Appendix A – Draft Bill.....	256
Appendix B – Submissions.....	275
Appendix C – Consultations.....	276
TABLES	279
Table of cases	280
Table of legislation.....	282
BIBLIOGRAPHY	291

**MEMBERS OF THE NATIONAL COMMITTEE FOR UNIFORM
SUCCESSION LAWS**

Australian Capital Territory

**Law Reform Commission of the Australian Capital
Territory**

Professor Charles Rowland

New South Wales

New South Wales Law Reform Commission

Professor Michael Tilbury, Commissioner

Mr Peter Hennessy, Executive Director

Mr Joseph Waugh, Legal Officer

Northern Territory

Northern Territory Department of Justice

Mr Robert Bradshaw, Deputy Director, Policy Division;
Solicitor for the Northern Territory

Queensland

Queensland Law Reform Commission

(co-ordinating agency for the project)

The Hon Justice R G Atkinson, Chairperson

Ms Claire Riethmuller, Director

Tasmania

Tasmania Law Reform Institute

Mr Ken Mackie, University of Tasmania

Victoria

Victorian Law Reform Commission

Western Australia

State Solicitor's Office

Ms Ilse Petersen, Senior Assistant State Solicitor

Commonwealth

Australian Law Reform Commission

Associate Professor Les McCrimmon, Commissioner

Legislative Drafter

Geoffrey Hackett-Jones QC

Parliamentary Counsel of the Northern Territory

Intestacy