

**NATIONAL COMMITTEE FOR
UNIFORM SUCCESSION LAWS**

Intestacy

Report to the
Standing Committee of Attorneys General

March 2007

Intestacy

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | | |
|-----------|---|-----------|
| | MEMBERS OF THE NATIONAL COMMITTEE | ix |
| 1. | INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| | BACKGROUND | 2 |
| | Testate and intestate succession..... | 2 |
| | When intestacy occurs..... | 2 |
| | Incidence of intestacy | 5 |
| | Characteristics of intestates and their estates | 6 |
| | Transmission of property by other means..... | 7 |
| | AIM OF INTESTACY RULES | 8 |
| | Carrying out the presumed intentions of the intestate | 8 |
| | Simplicity, clarity and certainty | 10 |
| | Meeting the needs of family members | 11 |
| | Provision for deserving family members | 12 |
| | Interaction with family provision regimes | 13 |
| | THIS REFERENCE..... | 14 |
| | Work of the National Committee for Uniform Succession | |
| | Laws..... | 14 |
| | Issues Paper 26 | 15 |
| | Submissions and consultations..... | 15 |
| | Research Report 13..... | 16 |
| | OUTLINE OF THIS REPORT | 16 |
| | Spouses and partners..... | 16 |
| | The parent-child relationship..... | 17 |
| | Distribution to next of kin..... | 17 |
| | Bona vacantia | 18 |
| | General aspects of distribution | 18 |
| | Accounting for benefits received..... | 18 |
| | Indigenous people..... | 19 |
| | Miscellaneous provisions | 19 |
| 2. | SPOUSE OR PARTNER – PRELIMINARY ISSUES | 21 |
| | INTRODUCTION | 22 |
| | WHO IS A SPOUSE OR PARTNER? | 22 |
| | Spouses | 22 |
| | Domestic partnerships | 23 |
| | SPOUSES TO BE TREATED AS SEPARATE PERSONS | 27 |
| | National Committee’s conclusion..... | 28 |
| 3. | SPOUSE OR PARTNER – GENERAL DISTRIBUTION | 29 |
| | SURVIVING SPOUSE BUT NO SURVIVING ISSUE | 30 |
| | Law reform developments..... | 31 |
| | Arguments for and against..... | 32 |
| | Submissions..... | 34 |

| | | |
|-----------|---|------------|
| | National Committee's conclusion | 34 |
| | SURVIVING SPOUSE AND SURVIVING ISSUE | 35 |
| | Problems with the current position | 35 |
| | Possible approaches..... | 39 |
| | Recognition of dependency | 48 |
| | National Committee's conclusion..... | 50 |
| 4. | SPOUSE OR PARTNER – SPECIAL PROVISIONS | 53 |
| | PERSONAL EFFECTS OF THE INTESTATE | 54 |
| | Definition of effects | 55 |
| | Law reform developments..... | 59 |
| | Submissions and consultations..... | 60 |
| | National Committee's conclusion..... | 61 |
| | THE STATUTORY LEGACY | 63 |
| | Justification of the statutory legacy | 63 |
| | The amount of the statutory legacy..... | 64 |
| | National Committee's conclusion..... | 70 |
| | STATUTORY LEGACY AND CONFLICT OF LAWS | 71 |
| | National Committee's conclusion..... | 73 |
| | APPORTIONING THE RESIDUE BETWEEN SURVIVING | |
| | PARTNER AND ISSUE | 73 |
| | Law reform developments..... | 74 |
| | Submissions and consultations..... | 75 |
| | National Committee's conclusion..... | 75 |
| 5. | SPOUSE OR PARTNER – ELECTION TO OBTAIN ANY | |
| | PROPERTY | 77 |
| | THE RIGHT TO THE SHARED HOME..... | 78 |
| | Current provisions..... | 78 |
| | General arguments | 78 |
| | Alternative approaches | 80 |
| | A NEW APPROACH..... | 82 |
| | THE SPOUSE OR PARTNER'S ENTITLEMENT | 86 |
| | Election | 86 |
| | Revocation | 94 |
| | Valuing the intestate's interest in the relevant property | 94 |
| | Satisfying the value of the interest..... | 99 |
| | Restrictions on the right to acquire property | 102 |
| | National Committee's conclusion..... | 104 |
| | POWER OF THE PERSONAL REPRESENTATIVE TO | |
| | DISPOSE OF PROPERTY | 104 |
| | The current law | 104 |
| | National Committee's conclusion..... | 105 |
| | Where the spouse is a trustee | 107 |

| | | |
|-----------|---|------------|
| 6. | MULTIPLE PARTNERS | 109 |
| | INTESTATE LEAVES A SPOUSE AND A DE FACTO PARTNER..... | 110 |
| | Distribution in Queensland..... | 110 |
| | Distribution in other Australian jurisdictions | 111 |
| | INTESTATE LEAVES MORE THAN ONE PARTNER..... | 113 |
| | BIGAMOUS UNIONS..... | 114 |
| | PERSONAL EFFECTS..... | 115 |
| | SUBMISSIONS AND CONSULTATIONS..... | 116 |
| | NATIONAL COMMITTEE'S CONCLUSION | 116 |
| | Where there are also surviving issue..... | 117 |
| 7. | THE PARENT – CHILD RELATIONSHIP | 119 |
| | ESTABLISHING PARENTAGE..... | 120 |
| | Presumptions of parentage..... | 120 |
| | National Committee's conclusion..... | 123 |
| | CHILDREN NOT YET BORN (EN VENTRE SA MERE) | 123 |
| | Rights of children not yet born | 123 |
| | Presumptions of parentage..... | 125 |
| | Delayed conception and suspended gestation | 126 |
| | STEP-CHILDREN..... | 129 |
| | Arguments for and against..... | 130 |
| | Law Reform developments | 132 |
| | Submissions and consultations..... | 133 |
| | National Committee's conclusion..... | 133 |
| | STEP-PARENT ADOPTIONS..... | 133 |
| | Law reform developments..... | 134 |
| | Arguments for and against..... | 135 |
| | National Committee's conclusion..... | 136 |
| 8. | NEXT OF KIN – PRELIMINARY ISSUES | 139 |
| | PER STIRPES OR PER CAPITA DISTRIBUTION? | 140 |
| | Current Australian provisions..... | 140 |
| | Law reform developments..... | 141 |
| | Arguments for and against..... | 143 |
| | Submissions and consultations..... | 146 |
| | National Committee's conclusion..... | 147 |
| | PERSONS ENTITLED IN MORE THAN ONE CAPACITY | 148 |
| | Law reform developments..... | 149 |
| | Submissions..... | 149 |
| | RELATIONSHIPS BETWEEN SIBLINGS..... | 151 |
| | Law reform developments..... | 152 |
| | Submissions and consultations..... | 153 |
| | The National Committee's view | 153 |

| | | |
|------------|--|------------|
| 9. | NEXT OF KIN – GENERAL ORDER OF DISTRIBUTION | 156 |
| | ISSUE | 157 |
| | PARENTS | 157 |
| | BROTHERS AND SISTERS | 158 |
| | DESCENDANTS OF BROTHERS AND SISTERS | 159 |
| | National Committee’s conclusion | 161 |
| | MATERNAL AND PATERNAL BRANCHES OF THE FAMILY | 162 |
| | National Committee’s conclusion | 164 |
| | GRANDPARENTS | 165 |
| | AUNTS AND UNCLES | 166 |
| | SETTING A LIMIT | 166 |
| | Should there be a limit? | 168 |
| | What should the limit be? | 170 |
| | The National Committee’s conclusion | 173 |
| 10. | BONA VACANTIA | 175 |
| | WHERE SHOULD IT GO? | 177 |
| | Distribution to charities | 177 |
| | Submissions and consultations | 179 |
| | National Committee’s conclusion | 179 |
| | HOW SHOULD IT BE DISTRIBUTED? | 180 |
| | DISCRETIONARY DISTRIBUTION | 180 |
| | Circumstances in which distribution may be made | 182 |
| | Criteria for assessment of claims | 185 |
| | Corporate claimants | 186 |
| | National Committee’s conclusion | 186 |
| 11. | SURVIVORSHIP | 189 |
| | APPLICATION OF SURVIVORSHIP CLAUSES TO INTESTACY .. | 190 |
| | Law reform developments | 190 |
| | Arguments for and against | 191 |
| | LENGTH OF TIME | 192 |
| | PREVENTING BONA VACANTIA | 194 |
| | APPLICATION TO CHILDREN EN VENTRE SA MERE | 194 |
| | SUBMISSIONS AND CONSULTATIONS | 195 |
| | NATIONAL COMMITTEE’S CONCLUSION | 195 |
| 12. | VESTING OF ENTITLEMENTS | 197 |
| | VESTING OF MINORS’ SHARES | 198 |
| | Contingent vesting | 198 |
| | Absolute vesting | 200 |
| | Law reform developments | 201 |
| | Arguments for and against | 202 |
| | Submissions and consultations | 203 |
| | National Committee’s conclusion | 204 |

| | |
|---|------------|
| Trusts for minors' shares | 204 |
| INHERITANCE BY REPRESENTATION AND THE "PREDECEASE" REQUIREMENT | 205 |
| Disclaimed interests..... | 205 |
| Forfeiture..... | 207 |
| National Committee's conclusion..... | 209 |
| 13. ACCOUNTING FOR BENEFITS RECEIVED..... | 211 |
| BENEFITS RECEIVED BEFORE DEATH..... | 212 |
| Origin of the rules..... | 212 |
| Current provisions..... | 213 |
| Arguments for and against..... | 214 |
| Law reform developments..... | 217 |
| Submissions and consultations..... | 218 |
| National Committee's conclusion..... | 219 |
| TESTAMENTARY BENEFITS | 219 |
| Law reform developments..... | 221 |
| Arguments for and against..... | 222 |
| Submissions and consultations..... | 223 |
| National Committee's conclusion..... | 224 |
| SUPERANNUATION ASSETS | 225 |
| 14. INDIGENOUS PEOPLE..... | 227 |
| INDIGENOUS KINSHIP..... | 228 |
| INCIDENCE OF INTESTACY AMONG INDIGENOUS PEOPLE ... | 229 |
| CURRENT PROVISIONS | 230 |
| Recognition of customary marriage | 230 |
| Additional/separate distribution regimes | 233 |
| POSSIBLE APPROACHES | 240 |
| Make no special provision..... | 240 |
| Leave regulation to each jurisdiction..... | 241 |
| Make special provision for identifying Indigenous kinship structures | 242 |
| NATIONAL COMMITTEE'S CONCLUSION | 244 |
| 15. MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS | 247 |
| LEGISLATIVE DEFINITION OF "INTESTATE" | 248 |
| BENEFICIALLY INTERESTED PERSONAL REPRESENTATIVE .. | 249 |
| REFERENCES TO STATUTES OF DISTRIBUTION, HEIRS AND NEXT OF KIN..... | 250 |
| National Committee's conclusion..... | 253 |
| ABOLITION OF COURTESY AND RIGHT OF DOWER | 253 |
| National Committee's conclusion..... | 254 |

| | |
|---------------------------------|------------|
| APPENDICES | 255 |
| Appendix A – Draft Bill..... | 256 |
| Appendix B – Submissions..... | 275 |
| Appendix C – Consultations..... | 276 |
| TABLES | 279 |
| Table of cases | 280 |
| Table of legislation..... | 282 |
| BIBLIOGRAPHY | 291 |

**MEMBERS OF THE NATIONAL COMMITTEE FOR UNIFORM
SUCCESSION LAWS**

Australian Capital Territory

**Law Reform Commission of the Australian Capital
Territory**

Professor Charles Rowland

New South Wales

New South Wales Law Reform Commission

Professor Michael Tilbury, Commissioner

Mr Peter Hennessy, Executive Director

Mr Joseph Waugh, Legal Officer

Northern Territory

Northern Territory Department of Justice

Mr Robert Bradshaw, Deputy Director, Policy Division;
Solicitor for the Northern Territory

Queensland

Queensland Law Reform Commission

(co-ordinating agency for the project)

The Hon Justice R G Atkinson, Chairperson

Ms Claire Riethmuller, Director

Tasmania

Tasmania Law Reform Institute

Mr Ken Mackie, University of Tasmania

Victoria

Victorian Law Reform Commission

Western Australia

State Solicitor's Office

Ms Ilse Petersen, Senior Assistant State Solicitor

Commonwealth

Australian Law Reform Commission

Associate Professor Les McCrimmon, Commissioner

Legislative Drafter

Geoffrey Hackett-Jones QC

Parliamentary Counsel of the Northern Territory

Intestacy